Anion-induced migration reaction of acetylide from iron to cyclopentadienyl in (cyclopentadienyl)irondicarbonyl(acetylide) complexes

## Ling-Kang Liu,\*<sup>,a,b</sup> Kuo-Yang Chang<sup>a,b</sup> and Yuh-Sheng Wen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529, Republic of China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10767, Republic of China

The anion-induced migration reaction of acetylide in  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ -Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C=CPh) **1** was observed in a sequential treatment of lithium diisopropylamide then MeI or MeC(O)Cl resulting in  $(\eta^5-C_5H_4C=CPh)Fe(CO)_2Me \ 2 \text{ or } (\eta^5-C_5H_4C=CPh)Fe(CO)_2$ -C(O)Me **3**, respectively, the structure of **3** being confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray study.

The anion-induced migration reaction of a ligand from a metal atom to a neighboring cyclopentadienyl carbon atom has been known for about 20 years.<sup>1</sup> Such a reaction is characterized by its *intramolecular* nature and is generally initiated by a *deprotonation of the cyclopentadienyl ring* causing a group to migrate from a metal to a cyclopentadienyl ring, followed by quenching of the anion produced. The known examples include acyl,<sup>2,3</sup> ester,<sup>3</sup> hydride,<sup>4</sup> and heteroatom-containing (Si,<sup>5</sup> Ge,<sup>6</sup> Sn<sup>6</sup> and P<sup>7</sup>) groups, in conjunction with Group 6 to Group 8 transitionmetal elements. In this communication, the migrating group is extended to carbon-containing functions; the first observation of an acetylide migration from iron to cyclopentadienyl is detailed.

To a solution of the iron-acetylide complex  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ -Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>(C=CPh) 1<sup>8</sup> at -78 °C was added dropwise lithium diisopropylamide (LDA, 1.5 equivalents) and this was stirred for a short time before an excess of MeI was added to give a yellow compound  $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 C \equiv CPh)Fe(CO)_2 Me 2 (60\%)$ ; Compound **2** revealed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum resonances at  $\delta$  4.95 (t, J = 2.1, 2 H), 4.76 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 2 H) for C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub> protons, clearly indicating a monosubstitution of the cyclopentadienyl ring. The Me resonance at  $\delta$  0.38 (s, 3 H) was in agreement with that in  $(\eta^5-C_5H_4R)Fe(CO)_2Me$  ranging from  $\delta 0.35$  to 0.38 for  $R = SiMe_3$ ,  $SiMe_2N(Pr^i)_2$ ,  $SiMe_2NMe_2$ .<sup>9</sup> The Me resonance at  $\delta -18.7$  in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum also indicated the presence of Fe–Me bonding, not  $C_5H_4$ –Me [cf. the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-Me in ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub> at  $\delta$  12.7, whereas that of Fe-Me in (n<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>-Me is at  $\delta - 22.6^{10}$ ]. During the process, an anionic intermediate 1' was present whose IR v(CO) bands were red-shifted from those of 1 by 170 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The anionic intermediate 1' possibly has its negative charge residing on Fe, *i.e.*, after the acetyl-ide relocation stage.<sup>4,5,6,10,11</sup> The migration of the phenylacetylide group may be viewed as a 1,2-shift with the carbanion generated on the cyclopentadienyl ring attacking the neighboring acetylide (Scheme 1).

A similar anion-induced migration reaction of acetylide, yet quenching with MeC(O)Cl instead of MeI, resulted in ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C=CPh)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>[C(O)Me] **3** (40%), whose molecular structure was deduced on the basis of spectroscopic data and confirmed by an X-ray structure determination,‡ revealing the correct molecular connectivity (Fig. 1): The acetylide group is



indeed connected to the cyclopentadienyl ring instead of Fe. With further treatment of LDA then MeI, compound **3** pro-

† Typical anion-induced acetylide migration reaction. To a solution of compound 1 (1.0 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise LDA (1.5 mmol in 1.0 mL of THF). The solution changed from tan yellow to dark red. The IR bands at 2108w cm<sup>-1</sup> v(C=C), 2040s, 1993s cm<sup>-1</sup> v(CO) disappeared as two new bands showed up at 1870s, 1758s cm<sup>-1</sup>. After being stirred for 30 min, an excess of MeI was added and the solution returned to tan yellow. The IR v(CO) bands changed position to 2008s, 1952s cm<sup>-1</sup>. A work-up by SiO<sub>2</sub> column chromato-graphy (eluent 4:1 *n*-hexane–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) resulted in a yellow compound **2** (0.60 mmol, 60%). All compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses. Selected spectroscopic data. For compound 1. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=C) 2108w; v(CO) 2040s, 1993s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.30–7.06 (5 H, m, Ph), 5.04 (5 H, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  212.3 (CO), 131.4, 127.8, 127.7 (ipso C), 125.3 (p-C), 116.5 (C<sub>a</sub>), 88.2 (C<sub>β</sub>), 85.2 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). For compound 2. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) 2008s, 1952s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$ :  $\delta$  7.50–7.31 (5 H, m, Ph), 4.95 (2 H, t,  $J = 2.1, C_5H_4$ ), 4.76 (2 H, t, J = 2.1 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 0.38 (3 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  216.4 (CO), 131.7, 128.6 (p-C), 128.3, 122.6 (ipso C), 89.4, 89.1, 84.4, 83.2, 82.2, -18.7 (Fe-Me). For compound **3**. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) 2022s, 1964s; v(C=O) 1639w cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.48-7.30 (5 H, m, Ph), 5.11 (2 H, t, J = 2.1, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.84 (2 H, t, J = 2.1 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 2.67 (3 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  254.4 (C=O), 213.4 (CO), 131.6, 128.8 (*p*-C), 128.4, 122.2 (*ipso* C), 90.0, 89.7, 85.7, 83.9, 81.5, 51.2 [C(O)CH<sub>3</sub>]. For compound 4. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) 2018s, 1970s; v(C=O) 1682w cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (1,2-isomer, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.48-7.30 (5 H, m, Ph), 5.46 (1 H), 5.12 (1 H), 4.91 (1 H), 2.52 [3 H, s, C(O)Me], 0.43 (3 H, s, Fe-Me). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (1,3-isomer, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.48–7.30 (5 H, m, Ph), 5.54 (1 H), 5.36 (1 H), 5.07 (1 H), 2.33 [3 H, s, C(O)Me], 0.43 (3 H, s, Fe-Me). For compound 5. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=C) 2104w; v(CO) 2042s, 1995s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.11 (4 H, s, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 5.03 (10 H, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). For compound **6**. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=C) 2106w; v(CO) 2041s, 2008sh, 1995s, 1953m cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43–7.12 (4 H, m,  $C_6H_4$ ), 5.05 (5 H, s,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.91 (2 H, t, J = 2.2,  $C_5H_4$ ), 4.74 (2 H, t, = 2.2 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 0.35 (3 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 216.5, 212.1 (CO), 131.3, 128.1 (*ipso* C), 118.9 (*ipso* C), 116.6, 93.4, 89.9, 88.9, 85.3  $(C_5H_5)$ , 84.9 (*ipso* C), 83.1, 82.6, -18.8 (Fe–Me). For compound 7. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) 2010s, 1954s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.42 (4 H, s,  $C_6H_4$ ), 4.95 (4 H, t, J = 2.1,  $C_5H_4$ ), 4.77 (4 H, t, J = 2.1 Hz,  $C_5H_4$ ), 0.36 (6 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 216.3 (CO), 131.6, 122.7 (ipso C), 89.3, 88.9, 84.3, 83.7, 83.3, -18.9 (Fe-Me). For compound 8. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=C) 2096w; v(CO) 2042s, 1996s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>): δ 6.59 (2 H, s, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S), 5.02 (10 H, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). For compound 9. IR  $(CH_2Cl_2): v(C=C) 2095w; v(CO) 2043m, 2008s, 1998sh, 1954s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl_3): <math>\delta = 0.97 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 3.8, C_4H_2S), 6.71 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 3.8, C_4H_2S)$ C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S), 5.05 (5 H, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 4.91 (2 H, t, J = 2.1, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.74 (2 H, t, J = 2.1 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 0.34 (3 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  216.4 (CO), 211.7 (CO), 132.1, 130.6, 128.2, 118.7, 107.7, 100.5, 89.4, 88.9, 85.4  $(C_3H_3)$ , 84.7, 83.4, 83.2, -18.8 (Fe-Me). For compound **10**. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(CO) 2008s, 1954s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.08 (2 H, s, 100) and 10 H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and 10 C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S), 4.96 (4 H, t, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.78 (4 H, t, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 0.36 (6 H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 216.1 (CO), 132.3 (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S), 124.2 (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S ipso C), 89.4, 87.4, 83.4, 83.1, 81.8, -19.0 (Fe-Me). ‡ Crystal data for compound 3.  $C_{17}H_{12}FeO_3$ , M = 320.13, triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 7.0582(6), b = 9.4461(11), c = 11.8836(9) Å, a = 70.379(7),β = 76.162(7), γ = 80.945(8)°, U = 722.07(12) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, F(000) = 328,D<sub>c</sub> = 1.47 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, T = 298 K, λ = 0.710 69 Å, 2θ(max) = 45.0°, μ = 1.05

*a* = <sup>7</sup>.0582(6), *b* = 9.4461(11), *c* = 11.8836(9) A, *a* = <sup>7</sup>0.3<sup>7</sup>9(7),  $\beta$  = 76.162(7),  $\gamma$  = 80.945(8)°, *U* = 722.07(12) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, *F*(000) = 328, *D<sub>c</sub>* = 1.47 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, *T* = 298 K,  $\lambda$  = 0.710 69 Å, 20(max) = 45.0°,  $\mu$  = 1.05 mm<sup>-1</sup>, transmission factors 0.894–0.998. *R* = 0.029, *R'* = 0.051, *S* = 3.73, for 33 atoms, 190 parameters and 1808 out of 1893 reflections (*I* > 2.5 $\sigma_I$ ). CCDC reference number 186/873.

<sup>\*</sup> E-Mail: liuu@chem.sinica.edu.tw



Scheme 1 (i) LDA, -78 °C; (ii) MeI



**Fig. 1** An ORTEP<sup>12</sup> plot of complex **3**. The thermal ellipsoids for non-H atoms are plotted at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Fe–C6 1.765(3), Fe–C7 1.764(4), Fe–C8 1.984(3), C8–O3 1.201(4), C8–C9 1.501(4), C1–C10 1.432(4), C10–C11 1.184(4), C11–C12 1.436(4); Fe–C6–O1 178.1(3), Fe–C7–O2 178.2(3), Fe–C8–O3 122.71(23), Fe–C8–C9 118.41(21), O3–C8–C9 118.8(3), C1–C10–C11 177.1(3), C10–C11–C12 177.4(3)

ceeded with an acetyl migration to give  $\{\eta^5-C_5H_3(C\equiv Ph)-[C(O)Me]\}Fe(CO)_2Me 4 (55\% total) with two regio-isomers in$ *ca*. 3:2 ratio based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR peak intensity integration, the 1,2-isomer being preferred to the 1,3.

In view of the recent surge of investigations on highly ethynylated organometallic structures that are of relevant interest in materials science,13 a variety of mono- and bis-(transitional metal)  $\sigma$ -acetylide complexes have been reported in the literature.<sup>14</sup> The present anion-induced acetylide migration reaction would deliver an extra degree of freedom in positioning an ethynylated chromophore on a cyclopentadienyl ring. The anion-induced acetylide migration reaction was extended to the diiron-diacetylide complex  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2[C=C(1,4-C_6H_4)-$ C=CFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] 5, which was prepared similarly to 1.<sup>8</sup> Accordingly, to a solution of 5 at -78 °C was added dropwise LDA (3 equivalents), followed by quenching with an excess of MeI, resulting in a yellow powder consisting of the double-end acetylide migration product  $Fe(CO)_2Me[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)C\equiv C(1,4 C_6H_4$ )C=C( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)]Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Me 7 (60%) that had very similar spectroscopic data to 2. The dianionic intermediate should have two singly negative charges residing on the two Fe centers which are independent. The single-end acetylide migration product  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Fe(CO)_{2}[C\equiv C(1,4-C_{6}H_{4})C\equiv C(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4})]Fe(CO)_{2}Me$  6 could be separated from 7 when a smaller amount of LDA was employed. Spectroscopically 6 seemed to possess a combination of those properties of 5 and 7. No attempt was made to maximize the yield of 6 however. The anion-induced acetylide migration of (η<sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>[C=C(2,5-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>S)C=C]Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>- $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$  8<sup>15</sup> gave double-end acetylide migration prod- $Fe(CO)_2Me[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)C\equiv C(2,5-C_4H_2S)C\equiv C(\eta^5-C_5H_4)]Fe$ uct (CO)<sub>2</sub>Me 10 (40%). The single-end acetylide migration product  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Fe(CO)_{2}[C\equiv C(2,5-C_{4}H_{2}S)C\equiv C(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4})]Fe(CO)_{2}Me$ 9 was similarly obtained using smaller amounts of LDA. Although the transformations of 1 to 2, of 1 to 3 then to 4, of 5 to 7, and of 8 to 10 were 100% on the basis of IR v(CO) monitoring, the isolated yields of migration products by column chromatography were only ca. 40–60%, reflecting a loss during purification. With a wide area to improve and to explore, the acetylide migration reaction is expected to be of use in the preparation of polyethynylated organometallic materials.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Academia Sinica and the National Science Council, Republic of China for kind financial support.

## References

- 1 W. K. Dean and W. A. G. Graham, Inorg. Chem., 1977, 16, 1061.
- 2 P. C. Heah and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, **106**, 7636; M. Akita and A. Kondoh, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, **299**, 369; P. C. Heah, A. T. Patton and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, **108**, 1185.
- 3 S. Abbot, G. L. Baird, S. G. Davies, I. M. Dordor-Hedgecock, T. R. Maberly, J. C. Walker and P. Warner, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1985, 289, C13.
- 4 G. L. Crocco and J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6110.
- 5 S. R. Berryhill and B. Sharenow, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 221, 143; S. R. Berryhill, G. L. Clevenger and F. Y. Burdurlu, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 1509.

- 6 J. Cervantes, S. P. Vincenti, R. N. Kapoor and K. H. Pannell, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 744.
- 7 H. Nakazawa, M. Sone and K. Miyoshi, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 1564.
- 8 M. L. H. Green and T. Mole, J. Organomet. Chem., 1968, 12, 404.
- 9 W. Malisch, G. Thum, W. Ries and D. Greissinger, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, **252**, C67.
- 10 K. H. Pannell, S. P. Vincenti and R. C. Scott, III, *Organometallics*, 1987, 6, 1593.
- 11 M. Nitay and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 136, C23; K. H. Pannell, S. Sharma, J. Cervantes, J. L. Mata-Mata, M. Brun and F. Cervantes-Lee, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 4269.
- 12 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- Y. Sun, N. J. Taylor and A. J. Carty, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 4293;
   D. L. Lichtenberger, S. K. Renshaw, A. Wong and C. Tagge, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 3522; W. Beck, B. Niemer and M. Wieser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 923; H. Lang, Angew. Chem.,

Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 547; U. H. F. Bunz, V. Enkelmann and F. Beer, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 2490; J. E. C. Wiegelmann-Kreiter and U. H. F. Bunz, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 4449; T. Bartik, B. Bartik, M. Brady, R. Dembinsky and J. A. Gladysz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 414; U. H. F. Bunz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 969.
14 A. Wong, P. C. W. Kang, C. D. Tagge and D. R. Leon,

- 14 A. Wong, P. C. W. Kang, C. D. Tagge and D. R. Leon, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 1992; E. Viola, C. L. Sterzo, R. Crescenzi and G. Frachey, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 493, 55; M. I. Bruce, M. Ke and P. Low, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2405; O. Lavastre, M. Even and P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 1530.
- 15 E. Viola, C. L. Sterzo, R. Crescenzi and G. Frachey, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **493**, C9; E. Viola, C. L. Sterzo and F. Trezzi, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 4352.

Received 19th December 1997; Communication 7/09100A